

h-000006-4
00-0105



0000091082

From: <GilfBrown@aol.com>

To:

CC.SMTP("tobin.richard@ev.state.az.us","dwsundie@adwr.state.az.us","markm@azco
mmerce.com","paul.bullis@ag.state.az.us","lesp@ci.gilbert.az.us",...

Date: 02/19/2001 10:33:17 PM

Subject: Re: San Tan Plant a Different Prespective.

2001 FEB 22 A 9:44

ORIGINAL CONTROL
AZ CORP COMMISSION

Dear Mr. Urie:

Yes, you have still missed the point. When the city of Tempe was presented with the same situation, the CITY stood up alongside its residents and let themselves be heard. Look at what happens when not only the residents, but those whose voices the residents counted on and elected to make a bigger noise for them, work together.

You can say that you are not a health expert, yet you attended hearings and heard testimony to the fact that the toxic fumes released into the air will be harmful. How harmful, we will not know for a while. How much more information do you need to be concerned?

What about the aesthetics alone? Aren't you concerned about how our city will look? If you heard the testimony, and you know there are plenty of other plants being built in outlying area in Arizona to fill the needs of the valley, (and California and Mexico), why should you allow such an outright destruction of our community? Are you incapable of envisioning Gilbert's future skyline with plumes of gray smoke being emitted from monstrous towers? Isn't that enough to make you want to step in even as a citizen?

These are some of the things that concern me. How can I elect, or reelect, those without the capabilities or fortitude I need to represent my interests and those of my future generations?

By the way, I do praise the efforts of those who have worked so hard, without any help from my government representatives. If it weren't for their efforts, we would be in an even worse situation. Please do not take credit for their work. You did nothing to help.

Sincerely,

Sherry M. Farmer-Brown

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

FEB 22 2001

DOCKETED BY

CEL

From: Steve Urie <steveu@ci.gilbert.az.us>
To: "GlfBrown@aol.com" <GlfBrown@aol.com>
Date: 02/17/2001 1:26:55 AM
Subject: San Tan Plant a Different Prespective.

Dear Ms. Brown:

Since council members have been accused of being unresponsive, let me respond to your email.

I seem to be missing something in your email. Did not the Siting Committee just recommend to the Arizona Corporation Commission the expansion of the San Tan Power Plant contingent upon the terms, conditions and stipulations as set forth by the Mayor of Gilbert and the representatives of COST! If that is not getting what you want, what is? Evan Councilman Mike Evans realized that it was unfair to SRP to prevent them the use of their land. In his testimony, he stated that he was not opposed to the expansion of the power plant albeit, he wanted two plants instead of three. In addition, I do not remember anyone neighbor or council member saying that they were over-joyed with power plant being expanded into what has become a residential area.

Something that you might not be aware of, but the Gilbert Town Council does not represent you before the Siting Committee or the Arizona Corporation Commission. You represent yourself. If I were to testify before the Siting Committee as did Councilman Evans, I would appear as an citizen not as an elected official. I do not represent anyone else but myself.. Mike Evans stated several times during his testimony that he was appearing before the Siting Committee as citizen. The only time that I represent the citizens of Gilbert is when I am sitting at the dais and the Town of Gilbert has jurisdiction over the issue or item being presented. Otherwise, I am a citizen like everyone else.

For your information, I did attend the Siting Committee hearings on four different days and followed the hearings in the newspaper and read transcripts of the proceedings. I listened to what was being said, written and reported. I was satisfied that the issues that I had with the power plant expansion were being addressed. I did not feel the need to speak on my own behalf nor was I invited to be a witness. I am not an expert on health issues or power generation.

In addition, I do not think that the neighbors surrounding the San Tan Power Plant or the members of COST realized the significant concessions, considerations and stipulations that they won from SRP via the Siting Committee if approved by the ACC. The neighbors extracted far more from SRP and the Siting Committee than the Gilbert Town Council could have every hoped to have extracted. If the Town Council had negotiated terms and conditions with SRP, the council would have been limited to the areas in which the council has jurisdiction, which are off-site improvements. However, because of the determination of the representatives of COST, they were able to receive far more concessions than the Town because the Town has to play by a more restrictive set of rules.

So I guess what I am saying is that I am kind of confused. I thought that the Siting Committee had heard the concerns of the neighbors and the Mayor of Gilbert. The committee placed terms, conditions and stipulations upon the

plant prior to its recommendation to the ACC. And this was done to safeguard interests of the neighborhoods and the concerns Mayor of Gilbert.

Did I miss something?

Steve Urie
Gilbert Town Council

From: GilfBrown@aol.com [SMTP:GilfBrown@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2001 10:22 PM
To: mayor@ci.gilbert.az.us; davec@ci.gilbert.az.us;
maggiec@ci.gilbert.az.us; mikee@ci.gilbert.az.us; larrym@ci.gilbert.az.us;
steveu@ci.gilbert.az.us; kentc@ci.gilbert.az.us; lesp@ci.gilbert.az.us;
paul.bullis@ag.state.az.us; solea@cc.state.az.us; markm@azcommerce.com;
dwsundie@adwr.state.az.us; tobin.richard@ev.state.az.us
Subject: DID not COST accomplish it's goal.

Dear Mayor:

As I look forward to your reply to my last letter, I will give you a few more things to consider. Mainly, that you please remember that there exists a large number of people in Gilbert who are not brainwashed into believing anything they are told. They do research. They read the papers. Remember, we are the city with the largest number of post-baccalaureate degrees in the state of Arizona. Therefore, when you try to sidestep an issue by saying you have no control, first, we don't believe you. That is because we already know better. Second, we wonder even more why you are holding office to represent us, if you are unwilling to take the challenge of fighting for our cause. Maybe we need a new mayor, and a new group of people to represent us.

What would you be thinking if you were in our shoes?

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sherry M. Brown

From: "Laurie Woodall" <laurie.woodall@AG.STATE.AZ.US>
To: CC.SMTP("RTaylor@jsslaw.com")
Date: 02/20/2001 8:55:39 AM
Subject: Hassayampa Switchyard -Reply EQS 01-0041

Thank you for sending the dates. I will set a hearing very soon.

I will ask that you coordinate with Beth Cockrill at the ACC for the preparation and publication of the notice of hearing, and that you confirm with Beth the identity of local jurisdictions that will receive copies of the notice of hearing.

At the hearing I will ask you to establish publication and service on the local jurisdictions. I will also ask that you arrange for location of the hearing, and that you contact Arizona Reporting Service (Marta Hetzer (602 274 9944) to schedule a reporter.

CC: ACC.UTIL(jcockrill),CC.SMTP("teresa.martin-potts@AG.STATE.AZ.US")

From: <GilfBrown@aol.com>
To: CC.SMTP("tobin.richard@ev.state.az.us","dwsundie@adwr.state.az.us","markm@azco
mmerce.com","paul.bullis@ag.state.az.us","lesp@ci.gilbert.az.us",...
Date: 02/19/2001 10:34:08 PM
Subject: Re: San Tan Plant a Different Prespective.

Dear Mr. Urie:

Yes, you have still missed the point. When the city of Tempe was presented with the same situation, the CITY stood up alongside its residents and let themselves be heard. Look at what happens when not only the residents, but those whose voices the residents counted on and elected to make a bigger noise for them, work together.

You can say that you are not a health expert, yet you attended hearings and heard testimony to the fact that the toxic fumes released into the air will be harmful. How harmful, we will not know for a while. How much more information do you need to be concerned?

What about the aesthetics alone? Aren't you concerned about how our city will look? If you heard the testimony, and you know there are plenty of other plants being built in outlying area in Arizona to fill the needs of the valley, (and California and Mexico), why should you allow such an outright destruction of our community? Are you incapable of envisioning Gilbert's future skyline with plumes of gray smoke being emitted from monstrous towers? Isn't that enough to make you want to step in even as a citizen?

These are some of the things that concern me. How can I elect, or reelect, those without the capabilities or fortitude I need to represent my interests and those of my future generations?

By the way, I do praise the efforts of those who have worked so hard, without any help from my government representatives. If it weren't for their efforts, we would be in an even worse situation. Please do not take credit for their work. You did nothing to help.

Sincerely,

Sherry M. Farmer-Brown

From: <ChooChooAZ@aol.com>
To: ACC.UTIL(mailmaster)
Date: 02/15/2001 10:44:43 PM
Subject: Docket #: L-0000B-00-0105

Dear ACC,

I am writing to you concerning the proposed expansion of the SRP San Tan Power Plant in Gilbert. Recently, the Line Siting Committee voted to approve a Certificate of Environmental Compatability.

I am opposed to this expansion because a power plant three times its current size simply does NOT belong in a heavily residential area. Unfortunately, the surrounding area was foolishly zoned as residential with no buffer zone. Houses have been built right up to the edge of the SRP property which is zoned for agriculture.

Simply put, 150 foot smokestacks and the related pollution does not belong in a densely populated area. I recommend that the existing facility be refurbished with modern equipment but at its present capacity. Therefore, please do not approve the Certificate in its present form.

Sincerely,
C. Anthony Haddad
2090 East Arabian Drive
Gilbert, AZ 85296

From: "Yasmin" <yjr@rochetteinteriors.com>
To: ACC.UTIL(mailmaster)
Date: 02/14/2001 4:20:01 PM
Subject: SANTAN

IF SRP INSISTS ON EXPANSION IN A RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD -A STRUCTURED
PROPERTY DAMAGE COMPENSATION PROGRAM NEEDS TO BE IN PLACE - IT IS INCREDIBLY
NAIVE TO THINK THIS ISN'T GOING TO BE A FINANCIAL DISASTER FOR ANYONE WHO IS
TRYING TO SELL THEIR HOME IN THIS AREA.
OFFSETS THAT SRP NEEDS TO COMPENSATE FOR THESE POLLUTANTS NEED TO BE
OBTAINED LOCALLY.
PLEASE HELP.

From: "dan Woodcock" <azwoodman@earthlink.net>
To: ACC.UTIL(mailmaster)
Date: 02/14/2001 9:23:23 PM
Subject: SRP

the SRP Stacks may be in my back yard but I hope the smog shit fills your lungs everyday. DONOT ASK ME TO NOT DRIVE BECAUSE OF SMOG WHEN YOU HAVE ALLOWED THIS PLANT IN OUR CITY!!!!
You are fools !!!

Daniel Woodcock
602-672-4630
1520 so palm st
Gilbert AZ 85296

From: "Laurie Woodall" <laurie.woodall@AG.STATE.AZ.US>
To: CC.SMTP("teresa.martin-potts@AG.STATE.AZ.US","paul.bullis@AG.STATE.AZ.US","laurie.woodall@AG.STATE.AZ.US")
Date: 02/14/2001 11:06:03 AM
Subject: New Matter Docket No 110 Hassayampa Switchyard Project.

Members of the Line Siting Committee;
On February 9, SRP filed and application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility for the Hassayampa Switchyard, Docket 110.

This hearing must be set between March 25 and April 26. The notice of hearing should be published by Feb 23th, which means I must select a date by the 21st or 22nd.

As you know, we have already scheduled a hearing for the Sundance matter (Docket 107) on March 5.

We have scheduled the hearing for the Gila Bend Power Partners Transmission Line (Docket 109) on March 12.

We have scheduled a hearing for the Big Sandy matter (Docket 100) in Wikieup for April 5.

For the time period between March 25 and April 26, please let me know either:

1.) your preferred or available days for the Hassayampa Switchyard hearing, or 2.) Your Unavailable days.

Please respond either by email or leave me a voice mail message at 542 8547.

From: Kurt Boettcher <kboettcher@northmarq.com>
To: "mailmaster@cc.state.az.us" <mailmaster@cc.state.az.us>
Date: 02/14/2001 8:40:11 AM
Subject: Docket #: L-0000B-00-0105

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission

I am writing you as a concerned resident of Gilbert. My concern is with the approval of the Santan Expansion project that will impose a high number of pollutants and unsightly smoke stacks in a heavily residential neighborhood.

I am writing to you today to plead with you to take another look at this issue and to look into stopping this expansion. Salt River Project has publicly stated they have an alternative sight for this project. Although, farther in the desert and more costly, they say it would not be practical because of transmission lines and higher costs to consumers.

I agree with SRP that the alternative sight would cause more transmission lines and higher rates, but the alternative sight should also be looked at:

- An area in a less populated area affecting fewer people
- Higher costs to consumers is the price for growth (A point SRP has been saying is the reason for the new plant in the first place)
- Why do transmission lines need to be above ground? Why not below ground, and if there is a need for above ground lines, they would need to be located on some kind of vacant land. SRP would have you believe that more people would be effected by this. How? Would they be running them down the center of people's streets? I don't think so. Most likely along canals, railroad easements etc.

As you can see, I'm not just acting like a NIMBY, I think I, along with others in Gilbert, think that a MAJOR Power Plant in a heavily, affluent, area of the Valley, still with homes under construction within 1 mile of the site, is unrealistic and unsafe. Someone should have put a stop to home sales in the area if this was a known idea. I wish to also point out that I'm showing an alternative above, raise our rates for your costs of keeping our EXISTING established community and Town the reason we all moved here.

Thank you.

Kurt Boettcher

From: "Jerry Webster" <JCWMA@msn.com>
To: ACC.UTIL(mailmaster)
Date: 02/13/2001 11:52:43 AM
Subject: S. R. P. San Tan Power Plant Expansion

Dear Sir:

Now that The Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee has voted to recommend approval of the expansion at the above referenced location I hope that you will give the go-ahead as well.

PLEASE APPROVE THIS EXPANSION AS SOON AS POSSIBLE!

We do not need rolling black-outs and we need to keep our electric as cheap as possible.

Too bad all the selfish people who fought against this expansion didn't have to pay double or triple for their electric for a few months or experience a few days without electricity. They might have changed their opinions on whether electric was important to their comfort.

APPROVE THE EXPANSION AS SOON AS POSSIBLE!

Thank you,

Karen Webster

From: <dswendt@juno.com>
To: ACC.UTIL(mailmaster)
Date: 02/12/2001 8:40:10 PM
Subject: Opposition to San Tan Power Plant Expansion

I would like to take an opportunity to express my opposition to the above referenced power plant expansion. Although, I acknowledge that power capacity is an important need for the East Valley, I feel SRP is not taking into consideration that the proposed area, once farmland and undeveloped space, is now a large residential setting. As a resident of Gilbert and a citizen of Arizona, I request that the commission consider my viewpoint. Thank-you.

Daniel Wendt
2777 E. Devon Court
Gilbert, AZ 85296

GET INTERNET ACCESS FROM JUNO!

Juno offers FREE or PREMIUM Internet access for less!
Join Juno today! For your FREE software, visit:
<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/tagj>.